

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_ WAK

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,946	01/30/2004	Ted H. Applebaum	9432-000258 7168	
27572 7590 02/21/2008 HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828	,		OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N	
BLOOMFIELI	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/768,946	APPLEBAUM ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michael N. Opsasnick	2626		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES as ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 Octoor</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-6 and 13-18 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6 and 18-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/768,946

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1,1-6,18-23 in the reply filed on October 22, 2007 is acknowledged.

Specification

- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,946

Art Unit: 2626

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-6,18-23 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by <u>Tosaya et al</u> (6487531).

As per claim 1, <u>Tosaya et al (6487531)</u> teaches accepting speech from a user that extracts glottal source parameters, formants (Fig. 4, subblock 56; col. 11 lines 5-17; col. 11 lines 45-60); and an output communicating the result of the decision (as performing speaker verification – col. 11 lines 50-59)

As per claims 2-4, <u>Tosaya et al (6487531)</u> teaches glottal source parameters including spectral, voicing content, and noise (Tosaya addresses this issue of varying types of possible input speech, ranging from noise col. 8 lines 25-30; col. 10 line 64 – col. 11 line 20) with using glottal information to determine/model the input speech, and performing a comparison to the input speech 9col. 11 lines 50-59).

As per claims 5,6, <u>Tosaya et al (6487531)</u> teaches driving the excitations using phase fluctuating/shifting parameters, as well as harmonic/subharmonic driven excitation models (col. 11 lines 4-20).

Application/Control Number: 10/768,946

Art Unit: 2626

Claims 18-23 are method step claims that are implemented in the apparatus claims of claims 1-6; as such, claims 18-23 are similar in scope and content to claims 1-6, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale as presented above with respect to claims 1-6.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see related art listed on the PTO-892 form.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Richemond Dorvil, can be reached at (571)272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MICHAEL OPSASNICK PRIMARY EXAMINER

mno AU2626

12/17/07